is currently exploiting these interesting properties of this simple ligand system in chemical and in vivo studies with Al and Ga.

Acknowledgment is made to NSERC (Canada) for an operating grant and a University Research Fellowship to C.O. We most gratefully thank Professor J. Trotter for the use of his crystallographic facilities and Professor D. R. McLachlan for preliminary toxicity studies.

Supplementary Material Available: For 2, preparative procedure and tables of final positional and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters, calculated hydrogen parameters, anisotropic thermal parameters, bond lengths, bond angles, intraannular torsion angles, torsion angles, and measured and calculated structure factor amplitudes (26 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Macrocyclic Receptor Molecules for Urea: The Use of Electrophiles in the (Co)complexation of Neutral Molecules

Veronika M. L. J. Aarts,[†] Catherina J. van Staveren,[†] Peter D. J. Grootenhuis,[†] Johan van Eerden,[‡] Laminus Kruise,[‡] Sybolt Harkema,[‡] and David N. Reinhoudt**

> Laboratories of Organic Chemistry and Chemical Physics, Twente University of Technology 7500 AE Enschede, The Netherlands Received April 14, 1986

A covalently bound carboxylic group or an electrophilic lithium ion can assist in the complexation of urea by macrocyclic polyethers, provided that the macrocycle is sufficiently large to encapsulate urea.

Although hitherto the work on synthetic macrocyclic polydentate ligands almost exclusively deals with selective complexation of cations,¹ there is an increasing interest in the binding of neutral guest species.² We have recently proven that such complexes exist not only in the solid state but also in solution.³ Although a crystalline 18-crown-6-urea (1:5) complex has been isolated,⁴ complexes of crown ethers with urea in aqueous solutions hardly exist (log $K_s < 0.1$).⁵ More stable complexes are formed between protonated urea (UrH^+X^-) and macrocyclic polyethers,⁶ with a coordination as in the corresponding complexes with guanidinium salts.⁷ We are currently studying the complexation of urea with

[†]Laboratory of Organic Chemistry.



Figure 1, Structures of (a) the 2-carboxyl-1,3-xylyl-30-crown-9-urea complex and (b) the 2,6-pyrido-27-crown-9-bis(urea)-LiClO₄ complex (the perchlorate anion is not shown). Hydrogen bonds indicated by dashed lines.

macrocyclic polyethers containing a covalently linked acidic group, which can protonate the urea molecule, a very weak base (pK_a = 0.10, H_2O , 25.0 °C).

2-Carboxyl-1,3-xylyl crown ethers 1a-g were synthesized according to the method described by Cram et al.⁸ Accurate



determination of the acidities of the carboxylic group in these crown ethers (1) (pK_a values ± 0.02) showed that the pK_a value depends strongly on the ring size of the crown ether. The extremely high pK_a values of 1a and 1b (5.31 and 5.71, respectively) can be attributed to the stabilization of the acid by intraannular hydrogen bonding to a crown ether oxygen atom.⁸ The relatively high pK_a values of 1c (4.38) and 1d (4.06) must be due to specific coordination of a water molecule in the cavity, stabilizing the

0002-7863/86/1508-5035\$01.50/0 © 1986 American Chemical Society

¹Laboratory of Chemical Physics. (1) (a) de Jong, F.; Reinhoudt, D. N. Adv. Phys. Org. Chem. 1980, 17, 279-433. (b) Izatt, R. M.; Bradshaw, J. S.; Nielsen, S. A.; Lamb, J. D.; Christensen, J. J. Chem. Rev. 1985, 85, 271-339.

<sup>Christensen, J. J. Chem. Rev. 1985, 85, 271-339.
(2) (a) van Zon, A.; de Jong, F.; Reinhoudt, D. N.; Torny, G. J.; Onwezen, Y. Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas 1981, 100, 453-459. (b) Vögtle, F.; Sieger, H.; Müller, W. M. Top. Curr. Chem. 1981, 98, 107-119. (c) Elbasyouny, A.; Brügge, H. J.; von Deuten, K.; Dickel, M.; Knöchel, A.; Koch, K. U.; Kopf, J.; Melzer, D.; Rudolph, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 6568-6577. (d) Vögtle, F.; Müller, W. M. J. Inclusion Phenom. 1983, 1, 369-386. (e) Watson, W. H.; Galloy, J.; Grossie, D. A.; Vögtle, F.; Müller, W. M. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 347-353. (f) Vögtle, F.; Müller, W. M.; Watson, W. H. Top. Curr. Chem. 1984, 125, 131-164. (g) Weber, G. J. Inclusion Phenom. 1984, 2, 339-347. (h) Drew, M. G. B.; Nicholson, D. G. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C 1985, 41, 1358-1360. (i) Weber, E.; Josel, H.-P.; Puff, H.; Franken, S. J.</sup> C 1985, 41, 1358-1360. (i) Weber, E.; Josel, H.-P.; Puff, H.; Franken, S. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 3125-3132.

^{(3) (}a) de Boer, J. A. A.; Reinhoudt, D. N.; Harkema, S.; van Hummel, G. J.; de Jong, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1982**, 104, 4073-4076. (b) van Staveren, C. J.; Aarts, V. M. L. J.; Grootenhuis, P. D. J.; van Eerden, J.; Harkema, S.;

<sup>Reinhoudt, D. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc., in press.
(4) Harkema, S.; van Hummel, G. J.; Daasvatn, K.; Reinhoudt, D. N. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1981, 368-369.</sup>

⁽⁵⁾ Zollinger, D. Ph.; Bos, M.; van Veen-Blauw, A. M. W.; van der Linden, W. E. Anal. Chim. Acta 1984, 161, 83-90.
(6) Uiterwijk, J. W. H. M.; Harkema, S.; Reinhoudt, D. N.; Daasvatn, K.;

den Hertog, H. J., Jr.; Geevers, J. Angew. Chem. 1982, 94, 462-463.

^{(7) (}a) de Boer, J. A. A.; Uiterwijk, J. W. H. M.; Geevers, J.; Harkema, S.; Reinhoudt, D. N. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 4821-4830. (b) van Staveren, C. J.; den Hertog, H. J., Jr.; Reinhoudt, D. N.; Uiterwijk, J. W. H. M.; Kruise, L.; Harkema, S. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1984, 1409-1411.
(8) Newcomb, M.; Moore, S. S.; Cram, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 6405-6410. 1d: yield 55% (mp 78-79 °C); 'H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.68 (m, 24 H, CH₂CH₂), 4.73 (s, 4 H, Ar CH₂), 7.29 (s, 3 H, Ar H), 10.4 (br s, 1 H, OH). 1e: yield 58% (oil); 'H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.68 (m, 28 H, CH₂CH₂), 4.70 (s, 4 H, Ar CH₂), 7.29 (s, 3 H, Ar H), 8.9 (br s, 1 H, OH). 1g: yield 36% (oil); 'H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.68 (m, 26 H, Ar CH₂), 7.32 (s, 3 H, Ar H), 9.3 (br s, 1 H, OH). CH₂), 7.32 (s, 3 H, Ar H), 9.3 (br s, 1 H, OH).

carboxylic group.^{9,10} The p K_a values of 1e-g (3.80, 3.94, and 3.93, respectively) seem to reach a limiting value and become independent of the ring size. When the pK_a determinations of 1b, 1f, and 1g were carried out in the presence of a 100-fold excess of urea, we found a small but significant enhancement of the pK_a values of 1f and 1g (both 0.10), an effect that hardly exists in the case of 1b ($\Delta p K_a \leq 0.04$). This increased $p K_a$ value can be attributed to a specific inclusion of urea, 10 a proposed complexation which is supported by the isolation of a crystalline 1f-urea (1:1) complex.¹¹ The X-ray analysis of the 1f-urea complex¹² (Figure 1) shows that the proton of the carboxylic group is coordinated to the urea oxygen atom via a short hydrogen bond $(O \cdot O distance)$ 2.54 Å, O-H...O angle 167°). The total encapsulation of urea results in a complex with an apolar exterior, a property that allows for the solubilization of urea in an apolar solvent. A 0.10 M solution of 1f in CDCl₃ solubilized 0.05 M urea (25 °C, 17 h). In the absence of 1f no urea could be dissolved in CDCl₃. These results prove that the electrophilic moiety in crown ethers 1 can assist in the complexation of a neutral molecule, and, therefore, we assumed that other electrophiles should also be able to assist in this type of complexation.¹³

When a dilute solution of 2,6-pyrido-27-crown-9 (2e) and an equimolar amount of lithium perchlorate was treated with 1-2 equiv of urea in ethanol, a crystalline complex (1:1:2) precipitated.¹⁴ X-ray structure analysis (Figure 1)¹⁵ revealed that one of the urea molecules is encapsulated in the crown ether cavity (N···O distances in hydrogen bonds 2.99-3.17 Å) in a similar way as in the 1f-urea complex with the Li⁺ cation replacing the hydrogen atom of the carboxylic group as a coordinating electrophile (Li. O distance 1.90 Å, Li. N distance 2.20 Å). The tetrahedral coordination of the lithium cation is completed by a crown ether oxygen and the oxygen atom of a second urea molecule. These LiveO distances (1.98 and 1.95 Å) are in agreement with values reported for other 4-fold coordinated Li+ complexes.16

From these results we conclude that electrophiles such as Li⁺ or H⁺ can be vital bridging species in the cocomplexation of neutral guests by crown ethers.

Acknowledgment, These investigations were supported in part by the Netherlands Technology Foundation (S.T.W.) and by the

variables. (13) (a) Pascard, C.; Riche, C.; Cesario, M.; Kotzyba-Hibert, F.; Lehn, J.-M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1982, 557-560. (b) Hosseini, M. W.; Lehn, J.-M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 1155-1157. (c) Lehn, J.-M. Science (Washington, D.C.) 1985, 227, 849-856. (14) Yield 80% (mp 107-109 °C); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.2-3.8 (m, 28 H, CH₂CH₂), 4.73 (s, 4 H, Ar CH₂), 7.2-7.9 (m, 3 H, Ar H). Anal. Calcd for C₂₃H₄₅ClLiN₅O₁₄: C, 42.11; H, 6.61; N, 10.68. Found: C, 42.36; H, 6.59; N, 10.63. (15) C₂₃H₄₂NO₂₂(CH N OCL 200

10, 10.03. (15) $C_{21}H_{35}NO_8$ ·2(CH₄N₂O)·LiClO₄, triclinic, space group $P\bar{1}$, a = 15.687(6) Å, b = 11.797 (3) Å, c = 9.280 (2) Å, $\alpha = 103.64$ (2)°, $\beta = 97.40$ (3)°, $\gamma = 99.90$ (1)°, V = 1618 (2) Å³, Z = 2, $D_c = 1.35$ g cm⁻³, μ (Mo K α) = 1.8 cm⁻¹. 5660 Unique reflections (4° < $\theta < 25^\circ$), T = 242 K. Solution by direct methods. Refinement of 3875 observed reflections, urea hydrogens included, crown ether hydrogens in calculated positions. Final R = 6.7%, $R_w = 9.8\%$, 450 variables

(16) (a) Verbist, J.; Meulemans, R.; Piret, P.; van Meerssche, M. Bull.
Soc. Chim. Belg. 1970, 79, 391-396. (b) Suleimanov, Kh.; Sergienko, V. S.;
Kipkalova, N.; Sulaimankulov, K. Koord. Khim. 1979, 11, 1732-1736. (c)
Lebioda, L. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B 1980, 36, 271-275. (d) Groth, P. Acta
Chem. Scand., Ser. A 1982, 36, 109-115.

Netherlands Foundation for Chemical Research (S.O.N.) with financial aid from the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z.W.O.).

Supplementary Material Available: Tables containing listings of positional and thermal parameters of the two structures (12 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Barrier to Reductive Elimination of Hydrogen from Dihydridobis(trimethylphosphine)platinum(II)

Diane L. Packett and William C. Trogler*

Department of Chemistry, D-006 University of California at San Diego La Jolla, California 92093

Received March 31, 1986

Oxidative addition and reductive elimination of H-H, C-H, and C-C bonds occur in many catalytic and synthetic reactions.¹⁻⁴ Mechanistic studies of the reductive elimination of carbon-hydrogen⁵⁻⁷ and carbon-carbon⁸⁻¹² bonds have been reported for several systems. Intermolecular reductive elimination¹¹ can hamper the study of these reactions on a single metal center.

The intramolecular reductive elimination of molecular hydrogen from a mononuclear platinum, palladium, or nickel complex has not been well characterized, although elimination of hydrogen from metal clusters has been suggested as a model for the behavior of hydrogen on surfaces.¹³ Several theoretical studies have considered the oxidative addition and reductive elimination of molecular hydrogen from bis(phosphine)platinum(II) species¹⁴⁻¹⁷ to gain insight into the mechanism of processes that might occur on a platinum surface. These studies suggest reductive elimination proceeds via a "late" transition state that contains an η^2 -dihydrogen ligand. Kinetic barriers predicted range between 18 and 42 kcal/mol. We report here the first experimental investigation of intramolecular, rate-determining reductive elimination of H₂ from a mononuclear dihydride complex containing a metal from the nickel triad, a series of metals frequently used as heterogeneous hydrogenation catalysts.

- (1) Collman, J. P.; Hegedus, L. S. Principles and Applications of Organotransition Metal Chemistry; University Science Books: Mill Valley, CA, 1980; Chapter 4.
 - (2) Collman, J. P. Acc. Chem. Res. 1968, 1, 136-143.
 - (3) Halpern, J. Acc. Chem. Res. 1970, 3, 386-392.
 - (4) James, B. R. Homogeneous Hydrogenation; Wiley: New York, 1973.
- (5) Abis, L.; Sen, A.; Halpern, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 2915-2916.
- (6) (a) Michelin, R. A.; Faglia, S.; Uguagliati, P. Inorg. Chem. 1983, 22, 1831-1834. (b) Buchanan, J. M.; Stryker, J. M.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 1537-1550.
 (7) Okrasinski, S. J.; Norton, J. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 295-297.

(8) Braterman, P. S.; Cross, R. J.; Young, G. B. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1977, 1892–1897.

- (9) Moravskiy, A.; Stille, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 4182-4186. (10) Gillie, A.; Stille, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 4933-4941.
- (11) Norton, J. R. Acc. Chem. Res. 1979, 12, 139-145 (12) Tamaki, A.; Magennis, S. A.; Kochi, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974,
- 96, 6140-6146.

(13) Bavaro, L. M.; Montangero, P.; Keister, J. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 4977-4981.

(14) Noell, J. O.; Hay, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 4578-4584. (15) Low, J. J.; Goddard, W. A., 111 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 6928-6937. Low, J. J.; Goddard, W. A., 111 Organometallics 1986, 5, 609-622.

(16) Obara, S.; Kitaura, K.; Morokuma, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 7482-7492.

(17) Balazs, A. C.; Johnson, K. H.; Whitesides, G. M. Inorg. Chem. 1982, 21, 2162-2174.

⁽⁹⁾ $1d_1H_2O$ complex (1:1) was obtained from a methanol/water solution (mp 65-67 °C); X-ray analysis shows an encapsulated complex in which three hydrogen bonds are formed between the host and the guest molecule. To be published.

published. (10) Grootenhuis, P. D. J.; Uiterwijk, J. W. H. M.; Reinhoudt, D. N.; van Staveren, C. J.; Sudhölter, E. J. R.; Bos, M.; van Eerden, J.; Klooster, W. T.; Kruise, L.; Harkema, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1986**, 108, 780-788. (11) Yield 88% (mp 75-78 °C); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.65 (m, 32 H, CH₂CH₂), 4.70 (s, 4 H, Ar CH₂), 5.6 (br s, 4 H, NH₂), 7.26 (s, 3 H, Ar H). (12) $C_{25}H_{40}O_{11}$ ·CH₄N₂O, monoclinic, space group P_{21}/n , a = 19.990 (2) Å, b = 8.943 (1) Å, c = 16.660 (1) Å, $\beta = 94.54$ (1)°, V = 2969 (1) Å³, Z = 4, $D_c = 1.29$ g cm⁻³, μ (Mo K α) = 1.0 cm⁻¹. Measured 3887 unique reflections (3° < $\theta < 22.5°$), T = 159 K. Structure solved by direct methods. Full-matrix least-squares refinement of 1988 observed reflections ($F_0^2 > 3\alpha(F^2)$). Hydrogens from difference Fourier mass: carboxylic and urea $3\sigma(F_0^2)$). Hydrogens from difference Fourier maps; carboxylic and urea hydrogens included in refinement; crown ether hydrogens calculated (C-H distance 0.96 Å). Final R = 3.6%, $R_w = 3.8\%$, $w = 4F_o^2/\sigma^2(F_o^2)$, 382